

- [4] Gray R. On the content and character of pain experience. *Pac Philos Q* 2018;100:47–68.
- [5] Johansen JP, Seymour B. Editorial overview: pain and aversive motivation. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 2019;26:1–172.
- [6] Loeser JD. A new way of thinking about pains. *PAIN* 2022;163:1670–4.
- [7] Meulders A. From fear of movement-related pain and avoidance to chronic pain disability: a state-of-the-art review. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 2019;26:130–6.
- [8] Navratilova E, Porreca F. Reward and motivation in pain and pain relief. *Nat Neurosci* 2014;17:1304–12.
- [9] Shah D, Zhao X, Wei W, Gandhi K, Dwibedi N, Webster L, Sambamoorthi U. A longitudinal study of the association of opioid use with change in pain interference and functional limitations in a nationally representative cohort of adults with osteoarthritis in the United States. *Adv Ther* 2020;37:819–32.
- [10] Shattuck EC, Muehlenbein MP. Human sickness behavior: ultimate and proximate explanations. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2015;157:1–18.
- [11] Simons LE, Elman I, Borsook D. Psychological processing in chronic pain: a neural systems approach. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2014;39:61–78.
- [12] Vlaeyen JWS, Haslbeck JMB, Sjouwerman R, Peters ML. Towards a dynamic account of chronic pain. *PAIN* 2022;163:e1038–9.
- [13] Zhao X, Shah D, Gandhi K, Wei W, Dwibedi N, Webster L, Sambamoorthi U. The association of pain interference and opioid use with healthcare utilization and costs, and wage loss among adults with osteoarthritis in the United States. *J Med Econ* 2019;22:1192–201.

Ajay Manhapra^{a,b,c,d}

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, United States

^bNew England Mental Illness Research Education Clinical Center, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, United States

^cHampton VA Medical Center, Hampton, VA, United States

^dDepartments of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation and Psychiatry, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA, United States

E-mail address: ajay.manhapra@yale.edu (A. Manhapra)
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002800>

Reply to Manhapra

This interesting letter to the editor² does not really address the issues raised in my paper, *A New Way of Thinking About Pains*.¹ My concern was the fallacy of a temporally based classification of pains, and I did not address the utilities of painful states, which is Manhapra's concern. It is certainly possible to describe a variety of roles for pain and suffering, which are usually based on the describer's belief system rather than something inherent in pain itself. I also object to his statement about the utility of disability in relation to healing and recovery from injury: Consider the immense burden to society of the disability ascribed to low back pain in those for whom no injury can be detected. Human behavior is always complex and cannot be reduced to anyone's concepts of sickness and health.

Conflict of interest statement

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- [1] Loeser JD. A new way of thinking about pains. *PAIN* 2022;163:1670–4.
- [2] Manhapra A. Rethinking clinically significant pain: a marker of recovery rather than a warning of injury. *PAIN* 2023;164:e174.

John D. Loeser

University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States
E-mail address: jdoeser@neurosurgery.washington.edu

(J. D. Loeser)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002832>

Reply to Manhapra

Manhapra⁹ offers an interesting clinicians' perspective on acute pain and how chronic pain differs from acute pain. We agree with most of the author's ideas but would like to add further clarification about 2 issues.

First, the idea that pain motivates protective reactions is very much in line with a modern view that "*pain is not only a sensory and emotional experience but also part of a motivational system that alarms, directs, and energizes behavior to minimize bodily harm*"¹² (p. 189). Such a perspective is reminiscent of the homeostatic approach to pain, considering pain being more akin to hunger and thirst, rather than sensory experiences such as vision or hearing, and urging the individual to act.^{3,14} From this perspective, pain is a strong driver of learning, as predicting, preventing, and controlling harmful events adaptively helps to minimize potential harm to the body. Once predictive cues are identified, anticipatory avoidance behavior is deployed to prevent further injury, which then may cause other experiences and behaviors that can further interact with each other.¹³ Although avoidance is a strong protective response to threat, it does not occur in a motivational vacuum. Individuals in pain are often confronted with so-called goal conflicts or dilemmas.^{1,2,4,11} On the one hand, there is the urge to avoid potentially harmful events, and on the other hand, there is the wish to pursue valued life goals. Disability becomes more likely when priority is given to controlling pain vs pursuing nonpain goals. A key challenge for researchers and clinicians is finding ways to shift the balance towards exploring various ways to continue daily life, rather than sticking to the limiting protective actions.¹²

Second, the differentiation between acute and chronic pain has a long history and remains a topic of debate.^{5,8,10} The assumption is that when pain has become chronic, it has lost its protective function and a different kind of management may be needed. Manhapra⁹ proposes to conceptualize chronic pain as a well-intended but maladaptive response to threat. Following this line of thought and acknowledging that the time course of the recruitment of central pain generators varies among individuals and type of injuries, we suggest challenging the acute–chronic dichotomy and instead focus on whether the pain response of an individual is supporting or preventing recovery, irrespective of the time since pain onset. Such "primary" pain might be characterized by the presence of obstacles to recovery, such as expectancies and protective actions that are hindering the pursuit of daily life goals and leading to emotional distress and functional disability.⁶ An additional advantage of such an individualized approach is that there are more opportunities for preventing long-term functional disability before the traditional 3 months cut-off of chronicity if appropriate.⁷

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

J.W.S.V. is supported by the research program "From Acute Aversive Sensations to Chronic Bodily Symptoms," a long-term structural Methusalem funding (METH/15/011) by the Flemish government, Belgium, and J.W.S.V., M.L.P. and J.H. are supported by the NWO gravitation grant "New Science of Mental Disorders": HYPERLINK "<http://www.nsmdu.eu>"www.nsmdu.eu (grant number 024.004.016) by the Dutch Research Council and

the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science. R.S. is supported by NWO Open Competition grant nr. 406.20.GO.032.

References

- [1] Claes N, Karos K, Meulders A, Crombez G, Vlaeyen JWS. Competing goals attenuate avoidance behavior in the context of pain. *J Pain* 2014;15:1120–1129.
- [2] Claes N, Vlaeyen JWS, Lauwerier E, Meulders M, Crombez G. Goal conflict in chronic pain: day reconstruction method. *PeerJ* 2018;6:e5272.
- [3] Craig AD. How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:655–666.
- [4] Crombez G, Eccleston C, Van Damme S, Vlaeyen JW, Karoly P. Fear-avoidance model of chronic pain: the next generation. *Clin J Pain* 2012;28:475–483.
- [5] Finnerup NB, Nikolajsen L, Rice ASC. Transition from acute to chronic pain: a misleading concept? *PAIN* 2022;163:e985–e988.
- [6] Foster NE, Thomas E, Bishop A, Dunn KM, Main CJ. Distinctiveness of psychological obstacles to recovery in low back pain patients in primary care. *PAIN* 2010;148:398–406.
- [7] Linton SJ. Early identification and intervention in the prevention of musculoskeletal pain. *Am J Ind Med* 2002;41:433–442.
- [8] Loeser JD. A new way of thinking about pains. *PAIN* 2022;163:1670–1674.
- [9] Manhapra A. Rethinking clinically significant pain: a marker of recovery rather than a warning of injury. *PAIN* 2–23;164:e174.
- [10] Nicholas MK. Time vs mechanism in chronic pain. *PAIN* 2–23;164:e174.
- [11] Roy M. Weighting pain avoidance and reward seeking: a neuroeconomical approach to pain. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:4185–4186.
- [12] Vlaeyen JWS, Crombez G. Behavioral conceptualization and treatment of chronic pain. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2020;16:187–212.
- [13] Vlaeyen JWS, Haslbeck JMB, Sjouwerman R, Peters ML. Towards a dynamic account of chronic pain. *PAIN* 2022;163:e1038–e1039.
- [14] Wall PD. On the relation of injury to pain the John J. Bonica Lecture. *PAIN* 1979;6:253–264.

Johan W. S. Vlaeyen^{a,b,*}
Jonas Haslbeck^{c,d}
Rachel Sjouwerman^{a,b}
Madelon L. Peters^a

^a*Experimental Health Psychology, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands*

^b*Research Group Health Psychology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium*

^c*Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands*

^d*Department of Psychological Methods, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands*

*Corresponding author. Address: Experimental Health Psychology, Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, the Netherlands. Tel.: +32 (84) 378698; Fax: +32 (16) 325923.

E-mail address: j.vlaeyen@maastrichtuniversity.nl
 (J. W. S. Vlaeyen).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002833>